1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 Government, 5 ) Case No. 3:19-cr-144 vs. 6 PRESTON ANDREW WATSON, 7 Defendant. 8 9 SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHERINE A. CRYTZER 10 Friday, May 28, 2021 11 1:54 p.m. to 3:54 p.m. 12 **APPEARANCES:** 13 ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT: 14 JENNIFER KOLMAN, ESQ. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 15 OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEY 800 Market Street 16 Suite 211 Knoxville, TN 37902 17 18 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: 19 BENJAMIN GERALD SHARP, ESQ. FEDERAL DEFENDER SERVICES OF EASTERN 20 TENNESSEE, INC. (KNOX) 800 South Gay Street 21 Suite 2400 Knoxville, TN 37929 22 REPORTED BY: 23 Teresa S. Grandchamp, RMR, CRR 24 P.O. Box 1362 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901 25 (865) 244-0454

_				2 _
1		INDEX		
2		EXHIBITS		
3	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:			
4	<u>EXHIBIT</u>		<u>ID</u> :	IN EVID:
5	Exhibit No. 3		24	47
6	Exhibit No. 13 Exhibit No. 4		27 29	29 49
7	Exhibit No. 6-A Exhibit No. 6		30 30	50 49
8	Exhibit No. 11 Exhibit No. 3-A		35 25	5 2 4 7
9	Exhibit No. 3-B Exhibit No. 9		47 31	47 51
10	Exhibit No. 12	* * * * * * * *	38	53
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and 2 come to order. 3 This is Criminal Action 3:19-cr-144-1, United 4 States of America versus Preston Andrew Watson. 5 Is the government present and ready to proceed? 6 MS. KOLMAN: It is, Your Honor. 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Is the defendant present 8 and ready to proceed? 9 MR. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor. Good afternoon. THE COURT: Good afternoon. 01:54PM 10 Will the courtroom deputy please swear the 11 12 defendant. 13 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Your Honor. 14 (The defendant was thereupon duly sworn.) 15 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. 16 THE COURT: In advance of today's hearing, the 17 Court has received and reviewed a number of documents; 18 the Indictment, Plea Agreement, the Report and 19 Recommendation related to Mr. Watson's guilty plea, and the order adopting the Report and Recommendation, the 01:54PM 20 Agreed Preliminary Order of Forfeiture, and the Notice 21 22 of Forfeiture, the Presentence Report and Addendum to 23 the Presentence Report, and a second addendum to the 24 Presentence Report, which references a victim impact 25 statement that was made available to the parties and to

the Court, a Notice of Objection to the Presentence

Report from Mr. Watson, a Notice of No Objections to the

Presentence Report from the United States, and a

response to Mr. Watson's PSR objections made by the

United States.

The Court has also considered the United
States' sentencing memorandum, the defendant's
sentencing memorandum and motion for downward variance
and exhibits to that sentencing memoranda from friends
and family of Mr. Watson expressing their support.

The Court has also received and reviewed a notice of witness testifying at the sentencing hearing from the United States and an addendum to the United States' sentencing memorandum.

Does either party have additional material to offer that the Court has not already listed?

Ms. Kolman?

MS. KOLMAN: The only thing, Your Honor, which I think has been filed, is the stipulation with regards to restitution for the BluePillow series for the \$3,000.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Kolman. The Court has received that stipulation. I don't believe it's been filed on the docket yet, but the Court has received the hard copy.

Mr. Sharp, anything further?

01:56PM

1 MR. SHARP: Nothing further, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, have you and Mr. Watson 3 read the Presentence Report documents, including the 4 Presentence Report and addenda? 5 MR. SHARP: We have, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to 7 discuss the same in full with Mr. Watson? 8 MR. SHARP: I have, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Sir, you are Preston Andrew Watson; is that correct? 01:56PM 10 11 Yes, ma'am. THE DEFENDANT: 12 THE COURT: You are represented here by 13 Mr. Sharp; is that correct? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Watson, you were charged in a 16 seven-count indictment. Count One charges you with 17 production of child pornography in violation of 18 18 United States Code §§ 2251(a) and 2251(e). 19 Further, Count Three charges you with 20 01:57PM distribution of child pornography in violation of 18 United States Code § 2252A(a)(2). 21 22 On July 13th of 2020, you pled guilty to Counts One and Three of that indictment. 23 24 Do you understand that Count One carries a 25 maximum term of imprisonment of 15 years -- I'm sorry --

a minimum term of imprisonment of 15 years and a maximum 2 term of imprisonment of 30 years, a term of supervised 3 release of at least five years up to life, and a fine of 4 \$250,000, along with a \$100 special assessment? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I do understand, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Three 6 7 carries a minimum term of five years' imprisonment and a 8 maximum term of 20 years, a term of supervised release 9 of at least three years up to life, a fine of up to \$250,000, and a \$100 special assessment? 01:57PM 10 11 I do, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 12 THE COURT: Mr. Watson, have you received and 13 had the opportunity to read and discuss the Presentence 14 Report and addendum with Mr. Sharp? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 16 THE COURT: Do you understand the Presentence 17 Report and related sentencing documents in this case? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 19 THE COURT: Has Mr. Sharp answered any 01:58PM 20 questions that you may have regarding those documents 21 and your sentencing hearing here today? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he has. THE COURT: I'd like to talk with the parties 23 24 briefly about the procedure for today's hearing. First, 25 the Court will hear the parties on any remaining

objections to the Presentence Report. 2 Second, the Court will calculate the advisory 3 guidelines range that is applicable to Mr. Watson. 4 Third, the Court will decide whether to accept 5 the provisions of the plea agreement that the parties have entered in this case. 6 7 Fourth, the Court will hear the parties on the 8 motions for a departure under the sentencing guidelines 9 themselves. 10 Fifth, the Court will hear arguments on 11 application of the § 3553(a) factors, any requests for a 12 variance, and any other sentencing recommendations. 13 And, finally, the Court will hear any final 14 statements, including hearing from the defendant, if he 15 would like, and then pronounce the sentence. 16 Ms. Kolman, have you received the Presentence 17 Report and addenda in this case? 18 MS. KOLMAN: I have, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Does the government have any 20 objections to the Presentence Report? 21

01:59PM

01:58PM

MS. KOLMAN: I do not, Your Honor.

Mr. Sharp, the defendant has filed THE COURT: objections to the Presentence Report. Would he like to address those objections now?

MR. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor. And I apologize

25

22

23

for the late notice to the Court and to the parties. We would be moving to withdraw those objections at this point. This case has been a bit unusual in the scoring of the guidelines; however, after further review and investigation, we feel it's appropriate to withdraw those objections.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sharp.

Ms. Kolman, in the government's response to defendant's objections to the Presentence Report, the government states that it anticipates moving during the sentencing hearing for the third-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to Guideline §3E1.1(b). Would the government like to do so now?

MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, we would ask that that be applied.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sharp, I presume that Mr. Watson has no objection to that motion.

MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court grants the motion and also notes that the recommended Presentence Report calculation as revised anticipated that Mr. Watson would be given the full three-point credit. The Court adopts the revised Presentence Report as its own findings in this matter.

Given these findings and calculations, the

Court calculates the following advisory guidelines range: Mr. Watson's total offense level is 43. His criminal history category is II. This results in a guideline imprisonment range of life, which the United States Sentencing Commission has defined as 470 months, a supervised release range of five years to life for Count One and five years to life for Count Three, a fine range of \$50,000 to \$250,000, and a special assessment of \$200.

Are there any objections for the record?

Ms. Kolman?

MS. KOLMAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp?

MR. SHARP: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The parties have entered a plea agreement in this case. Pursuant to the plea agreement, does the United States wish to move the Court to dismiss the remaining counts against Mr. Watson in the indictment? By my count, that is Counts Two, Four, Five, Six, and Seven.

MS. KOLMAN: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kolman, does the

government so move?

MS. KOLMAN: Yes, please.

THE COURT: Do the parties have any objection

to the Court accepting the provisions of the plea 2 agreement in full? 3 Mr. Sharp? 4 MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, I presume no objection. MS. KOLMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. The Court accepts the 8 provisions of the plea agreement and dismisses the 9 remaining counts of the indictment as to Mr. Watson. Those are Counts Two, Four, Five, Six, and Seven. 02:02PM 10 11 Now that it has properly calculated the advisory guidelines range, the Court will consider any 12 13 departures under the quidelines. 14 Ms. Kolman, does the government wish to make a 15 motion for a departure under the guidelines? 16 MS. KOLMAN: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Sharp? 18 No departure motion, Your Honor. MR. SHARP: 19 THE COURT: Having properly calculated the 20 02:02PM advisory guidelines range and considering any motions for departure, the Court must consider the factors set 21 22 forth in 18 United States Code § 3553(a) to ensure that 23 the Court imposes a sentence that is sufficient, but not 24 greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of 25 sentencing.

1 In addition to the guidelines and policy 2 statements, the Court must consider the nature and 3 circumstances of the offense, the history and characteristics of Mr. Watson, the need for the sentence 4 5 imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to 6 promote respect for the law, and to provide just 7 punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, to protect the public 8 9 from further crimes of the defendant, and to provide Mr. Watson with needed educational or vocational 10 training, medical care, or other correctional treatment. 11 12 The Court also considers the kinds of sentences 13 available, the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing 14

disparities among similarly-situated defendants, and the need to provide restitution to any victims of the offenses.

Does either party wish to make an argument about the application of the factors set forth in 3553(a), request a variance, or otherwise make a sentencing recommendation?

Mr. Sharp, the Court has read your motion for a variance and would like for you to start first.

> MR. SHARP: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, may I remove my mask?

THE COURT: Yes, please. As we're bringing

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

02:03PM

folks back into the courtroom post the CDC's most updated guidance, if a party can safely remove his or her mask, you may do so. Mr. Sharp, you may also make presentation from the podium if that's most efficient.

MR. SHARP: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I will attempt to not be too repetitive of the filed sentencing memorandum and motion for variance that I filed. There are a few things, though, that I would like to highlight in regard to Mr. Watson and the representation I've had of him for quite some time now. He has been here in local custody for a while, a lot of that due to the pandemic. This case would have been resolved sooner.

I think it's -- you really can't underscore the level and extent of Mr. Watson's mental health concerns in this case, and the conduct in and of itself -- and I don't want anything to be misconstrued. The conduct -- he recognizes how bad the conduct is, and the regret that he has and the remorse that he has for the pain he's caused these individuals is sincere, and nothing that I argue here today is in the way of an excuse but more in the way of reasons. And I recognize that's a fine line, Your Honor.

But when you look at the history of Mr. Watson, from a very young age, while he was at Bible school, he

was sexually abused at five years old, and that certainly put him in a situation where he was a sexual abuse victim and in the situation where someone of that age, not being able to understand or to filter what is going on, what's happening to him, and the addition of a place where he thought he was safe and was told, "Don't tell anyone that" -- "You can't tell anyone about this."

So from a very young age, he has these very conflicting messages and understandings of safety, of sexuality, things that a five-year-old should not have to deal with.

And he lives with this secret well into his teens until he finally discloses it to family members well after the fact that anything could be done, as far as prosecution of the individual. But it was something that he had lived with and he had focused all his attentions in being perfect from that time forward.

And I think I put that in the memo, but when you speak to his family in particular, he always wanted to be the best student, the best athlete, the best son, the best brother, and knowing that he fell short on some of that. So a lot of these issues that he has dealt with come from a place of -- a certain level of insecurities that he had grown with, and even though he has had these successes, he still had this underlying

02:06PM

02:06PM

insecurity.

02:08PM

02:07PM

When he was in treatment, when he started to get counseling, when he started to understand the situation that he was in and started to understand and realize the level of mental illness that he was suffering from and he started getting diagnosed with bipolar disease and -- I think it was attention deficit disorder, and he had obsessive-compulsive tendencies as well, when he was treated, when he had healthcare, he was going to therapy and he was being medicated, during those periods of time is when he saw the best ability. And certainly any type of medication for mental health is a moving target, but he at least had periods of stability while he was being treated.

So a couple of things happened after he was kind of in this treatment regime. One, the biggest being his father passed away in 2012, and from everybody that I've interviewed in his family, they said that that was a marked difference for Mr. Watson, being his father was the one person that was his rock; that was the person that he always went to, and he became very withdrawn during that period of time after his father passed.

The second thing, and probably just as important, is: He lost his health insurance benefits.

So he falls completely out of treatment. He falls completely out of medication, to a point where he actually is homeless for a period of time. And during this period of time, he's living in his car. his family isn't aware of some of this at this point. But they find out. He moves back in with his mother and suffers these high and low swings that you see very often in bipolar individuals.

It was during these times when he would get very low that -- in conversations with his mother, he would go into his room and he would stay in his room for weeks and not come out, not even to use the restroom. She would take the food to him and he would stay in his room for weeks on end. And it was during these times that the only thing he had was himself and his computer, and those were the times where he would reach out to these individuals and participate in these horrific acts that's brought him here before the Court.

> THE COURT: Mr. Sharp --

MR. SHARP: He understands that none of these individuals --

Mr. Sharp, may I ask you just a THE COURT: quick question with respect to timing there?

> MR. SHARP: Yes, please.

THE COURT: When did these week periods of time

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

by himself begin?

MR. SHARP: They would have started shortly after he -- and I know the government has referenced the charge that he had in state court. It would have been shortly after that period of time. He was no longer employed at the cellphone store, and it was during the period of time that he had no medical benefits.

And once the family learned that there were nights he was staying in his car, that's when he went and moved back in with his mother. So it would have been probably around 2016, '17. I apologize, Your Honor. I don't have the exact timeline. It would have been right in that ballpark. So it would have been after the state charges, though.

So, when you talk to Preston about these charges -- and he fully understands that none of these girls deserved any of this. They didn't ask for it.

He, you know, reached out to them. They started these conversations and, you know, relationships. Even in Preston's state, he thought that they were some type of actual relationship. But he also understands how manipulating and improper that they were and that none of them deserve that.

And his sincere hope is that they can deal with the trauma of having to go through this. And he also

02:09РМ 10

02:10PM

understands that being -- there are huge trust issues, obviously, but, for whatever it's worth, he has assured me that none of these images were ever shared at any point with anyone; that he understands he made these horrible threats that that is exactly what was going to happen, but that at no time were any of these images shared. He also understands that they may not believe that and respects why they would not, but it was important that that be mentioned that none of them were shared.

But when you consider the -- just the level of -- kind of -- I don't want to say darkness, but he would get into these obsessive-compulsive periods of time where he was also in these depressive periods of time, and it just kind of created a perfect storm in regard to the way that he reacted to them. And he would become obsessed with getting a response from people, and when he wouldn't get the response that he wanted or needed, then he would become more and more aggressive with his messaging. And he recognizes how disruptive that could be to somebody, particularly a teenage girl.

So he's not naive to the fact of the damage that he's done at this point, and he wasn't in a place where he would have done that typically under periods of time where he is in treatment and he is medicated.

02:11pm 10

02:11PM

These were during periods where he was not.

So, that is, again, Your Honor, in the way of reasons, not excuses. And I hope that it's coming across that way. I don't intend to try to come up here and put out all these excuses for why this occurred.

So when -- that's the part of the perfect storm for him with where he was mentally, where he was with his treatment or not with his treatment, more importantly.

And then there is another aspect, a legal aspect, from looking at the advisory guideline range of kind of a perfect storm of scoring in the advisory guideline range.

And I want to take a moment to thank AUSA

Kolman for her supplement sentencing memorandum.

Everybody entered into this plea agreement in good

faith. I have no doubt about that. We thought we had

the numbers. We consulted with probation before we

entered into the plea agreement, and I believe probation

actually had to go to D.C. to score this one.

So in the 20 years that I've done this and the last ten strictly in federal, I've never missed a sentencing guideline the way that I missed this sentencing guideline.

In reviewing that information, as noted in the

02:12PM 10

02:13PM 20

supplement, the report had not come back. So we didn't have those images. We didn't have those things that we could have factored into the guideline range on the front end, and everybody thought when we entered into this that we were in that 15- to 20-year range, which would be very typical for this type of case.

And I'll get into that in just a second as well, Your Honor, but it really was kind of the perfect storm of scoring that took a case where we all thought we were at 15 to 20 and put it into life, which, as the Court is aware, is an equivalent of 470 months.

I would offer to the Court that that type of sentence in this type of case would lead to a sentence disparity; particularly in this district, but I would argue generally as well.

Just as a point, I had a case along with

Ms. Kolman and this same investigative unit, ICAC, with

almost an identical fact scenario. An individual was

friending teenagers, teenage girls. He was requesting

photos. They were sending photos. Same similar

charges. That individual was sentenced to 20 years and

two months, and --

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, in that case, was there this expanded relevant conduct that we have in this case?

02:13PM 10

02:14PM

MR. SHARP: That's what changes this one, right.

So the thing that I would say about the relevant conduct -- and it's obviously bad; right? Any time that you're collecting this type of child pornography and they come in these huge download files -- and the numbers are really -- unfortunately the numbers are not unusual for what we see, you know, and that speaks to a whole different issue that I won't get into, Your Honor, but it's not uncommon to see similar fact scenarios with child pornography numbers in this court.

I would point to the fact, though, that even the PSR, it notes that those had not been accessed, I think, since 2016. Even though they were on that hard drive, that specific hard drive itself had not been accessed. So while it's relevant conduct, and I don't disagree that it's relevant conduct under the guidelines, there was a time period where it had not been accessed. And it wasn't being accessed during this period of time that he was reaching out to these other individuals online. So I would ask the Court to take that into consideration as well.

But at no point when we entered into the plea agreement on this matter did anybody think that we were

02:15PM

looking at these types of numbers. And I don't believe that this is a number that adequately reflects what would be appropriate under 3553 as far as a sentence that is reasonable, but not greater than necessary, under the facts.

As horrible as these offenses are, and they are -- I don't pretend that they're not -- they are hands-off offenses. There was never an attempt to meet There was never a situation where he traveled or them. attempted to travel. He never tried to get anybody to travel to him.

I don't try to diminish the potential harm to the victim. That's not what I'm doing. But when we see cases, and particularly on the state level, when there is hands-on offenses, we don't see these types of numbers in state court. So that's only the point that I'm making in regard to it being a hands-off offense, not to minimize the harm to the victim, Your Honor.

So those would be my biggest concerns about where this number is and where we would typically see a number in this type of case when it is in regard to sentencing disparities under 3553, and I would ask the Court to take that into consideration.

And I would ask the Court to consider giving him a variance more in line with the parties' intentions

02:16PM 10

12

14 15

13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17 18

20

19

02:16PM

21

22

24

23

when we entered into this plea agreement in the ballpark of the 15- to 20-year range.

And I would say -- I know I become numb doing this. As far as these numbers go, they are significant numbers. 15 years is a significant amount of time. The law itself makes sure that there is going to be a significant punishment no matter what occurs.

I would just ask the Court to give Mr. Watson a -- as much of a variance as the Court can take in good conscience based on his conduct, where he was at the time, with the understanding that he does understand that mental health is going to be a part of his future. And he would ask for that while he's in the BOP. He would ask for that as part of his supervised release conditions as well.

And the fact that he does have sincere remorse for his conduct, Your Honor -- I know he's going to address that during his allocution, but he understands that what happened was unacceptable and is a situation where he is dedicated to not be back in a situation like this again.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sharp.

Ms. Kolman, I know that you've provided notice of the intent to use a witness. You may do so if you

02:18PM 20

would like, or I'm happy to hear from you in response, and then you could make that determination.

MS. KOLMAN: All right. Your Honor, I think because this case is unusual and it keeps going so, I was prepared to put my agent up. But I think because there is no disagreement to the PSR and we are looking at a life sentence, what I will do is present to you under the 3553 factors with regard to nature, circumstances and seriousness of this case, and I'll just do it myself; unless defense objects, and then at that point I can put my agent up.

I would just like to start by saying that I do agree with the defense with regards to the guidelines, which is why we did the addendum. However, I will say to the Court that in doing this for many years, we do know that there are people that specifically do that for a living with the sentencing guidelines. And it was also clear to all of us that statutorily, he could be looking at 50 years if the two cases were to run maximums on top of one another consecutively.

As far as looking at the other cases that have come into this Court that we all have worked on, specifically myself and the defense, there are different -- there are different circumstances, and I think they're very important for this Court to

02:19PM 10

02:19PM 20

understand, and that's what I'm planning on presenting today because the government does feel that this case was outside of the heartland of a lot of the cases that we see.

And the first thing that I want to talk about is the fact that with the other cases that Mr. Sharp spoke to you about, with those cases, you had one or two victims. In this case, Your Honor, as the Court knows, that what the PSR indicated to you is that we had four known victims.

Now, these kind of cases, these sextorsion cases, the issue is that while we have known victims, we're lucky we got those because those victims, as you saw from the PSR, they came forward. They actually came forward to and knew about NCMEC to make a report.

What I'm going to show you right now, Your Honor, is -- if I could pull up my Government's Exhibit 3.

(Government's Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)

MS. KOLMAN: When we started -- when the ICAC unit started looking after the search warrant and looking into the computers, what they found -- this is just one page that I'm going to go down through.

If we could go to the next page, 3-A.

02:20PM 10

02:20PM 20

1 (Government's Exhibit 3-A was marked for identification.)

MS. KOLMAN: This is a folder structure that continues downward, Your Honor.

And if we could go to the next page.

And this was -- we had just taken three pages. I'm going to tell you, there were a lot more. And what you will see, Your Honor, is: If you can look at each of those, we went into each one of those. Those are each different victims. And what the numbers symbolize -- say with Ginger Bitch -- and excuse my language. I'll just say that now. What you see there is 46. Those are 46 images of that girl.

Now, what the -- what my officer/agent would have told you is that on these, because they are not known victims -- and by that, what we mean is: We don't know what their names are; we don't necessarily know where they live; nor do we know how old they are. And so when you put these things together as an ICAC investigator, you have what's called -- they have a way that they do the counting to make that determination, and a lot of times, yes, it is a guess. And they are very conservative about that. If there is any question, they do not consider it.

So, I will tell you that in this situation,

there are a few like that. However --2 THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, may I ask just a 3 foundational question? 4 MS. KOLMAN: Sure. 5 THE COURT: This is from Mr. Watson's laptop --MS. KOLMAN: This is from -- yes, one of his --6 7 THE COURT: -- not the hard drive? MS. KOLMAN: I'm sorry. This is from the 8 9 desktop. 02:22PM 10 THE COURT: Desktop. 11 MS. KOLMAN: Yes, that was found when we did 12 the search warrant. 13 And I'm going to go ahead and just tender this Government's Exhibit 3. 14 15 THE COURT: Please. 16 MS. KOLMAN: So what you see here are -- these 17 are, of course -- I'm not going to call them all victims 18 because we're not for sure because we have not been able 19 to identify them. And what you -- what we do know is: 02:23PM 20 So, for instance, when the PSR was made by Mr. Queener, 21 he went over to the ICAC unit, and at that point, some 22 of the computer items had been seized. Not all of them had been forensically looked at with numbers. 23 24 So what -- the numbers that came up that we had 25 were numbers just from a few of the items, not all of

them. So, in other words, again, that was a very 2 conservative estimate which put us at the above 600, 3 which is the most that you can get anyway. 4 None of these, by the way, were counted 5 (indicating). Now, what is important about these --And if we could -- if we could go back to 3, if 6 7 we could. You will see where the red line is. That was 8 9 generically pulled. And we pulled a picture out of Red Bull Fat Girl. 10 11 Okay. And why it's relevant -- and I'm going 12 to show you a couple of other photos that have been 13 sanitized. 14 But if we could go to Exhibit 13. 15 (Government's Exhibit 13 was marked for 16 identification.) 17 MS. KOLMAN: And this, Your Honor, is, also, I 18 believe, in paragraph 25 of the PSR, which has been 19 admitted with no objection. 20 You will see there, this is one of our known victims. She had taken a screen shot from her texting

02:24PM

02:24PM

1 And so what we saw when we were going through 2 the folders is that there is a pattern of these women 3 having his name on their bodies. And if we could just show Government's 4 Exhibit -- let me put -- this is Government's 5 Exhibit 13. If I could put that into evidence, please. 6 7 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Sharp? MR. SHARP: Your Honor, I don't have an 8 9 objection. I would just ask the Court to understand 10 that the ages of these individuals has not been 02:25PM 11 established. I would ask the Court not to hold any type 12 of adult conduct against Mr. Watson in that regard. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, I think with respect to 14 Government's Exhibit 13, this is one of the four 15 identified victims who is a minor. 16 MR. SHARP: Correct, yeah. And I don't have an 17 objection about this one. This one is a minor. 18 thought she was going to show a photo. 19 MS. KOLMAN: I am. And I will indicate that. 02:26PM 20 THE COURT: Let's address Government's Exhibit 13 first. 21 22 MR. SHARP: Okay. THE COURT: 13 will be admitted with no 23 24 objection. 25 MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.

```
1
                    (Government's Exhibit 13 was received into
       2
                     evidence.)
       3
                    MS. KOLMAN: Okay. Let me show you what's been
       4
           marked as Government's Exhibit 4, which went under the
          Red Bull.
       5
       6
                    (Government's Exhibit 4 was marked for
       7
                     identification.)
       8
                    MS. KOLMAN: And I think we can take that off
       9
           at this point.
      10
                    But, as you can see, the point of that there is
02:26PM
      11
           that that is, in fact, historically what we are seeing
      12
           in these folders where it is girls where the direction
      13
           is to put "Property of Master P." As you saw, "I am a
      14
           fat slut." That is a picture that --
      15
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, I have a few questions
      16
          about --
      17
                    MS. KOLMAN: Sure.
      18
                    THE COURT: -- the image. Is that an image of
      19
           one of the four minors that have been identified?
                    MS. KOLMAN: It is not, no.
02:26PM
      20
                    THE COURT: Do we know whether that woman was a
      21
          minor or an adult?
      22
      23
                    MS. KOLMAN: That, we do not.
      24
                    THE COURT: Okay.
      25
                    MS. KOLMAN: We do not.
```

1 THE COURT: And do we know how that woman came 2 to have the writing on her body that was present? 3 MS. KOLMAN: We do not. 4 Now, and if my agent had been brought -- had 5 been put on the stand, what he would have told you is: 6 On that victim, he could not say because he did not see 7 the face. And the body is something where it was 8 questionable. That would not have been counted. 9 THE COURT: Okay. MS. KOLMAN: Okay? And if I could show 6-A. 02:27PM 10 11 (Government's Exhibit 6-A was marked for 12 identification.) 13 MS. KOLMAN: Okay. If we could take that off. 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry; I was taking notes. 15 MS. KOLMAN: I'm sorry. 6-A, we can take that 16 down. 17 Okay. Now, let me show you just Government's 18 Exhibit 6 that goes along with that. 19 (Government's Exhibit 6 was marked for 02:27PM 20 identification.) MS. KOLMAN: Okay. And you can take that down. 21 22 Again, those two photographs were in where you 23 saw Ginger Bitch. That's two of the photos that were in 24 there. 25 What the agent would have told you would have

been just the face and her -- you can't see her breasts, 2 but -- so that in and of itself would not have been 3 counted. 4 The other picture, because they would associate 5 that picture with her face, the agent would have told you that, yes, they would have counted that because of 6 7 the body shape, the face. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. KOLMAN: Just as a tutorial. THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, for clarification --02:28PM 10 11 MS. KOLMAN: Yes. 12 THE COURT: -- Government's Exhibit 6-A would 13 have been counted, but Government's Exhibit 6 would not 14 have been counted? 15 MS. KOLMAN: That is correct. That is correct. 16 And, again, you saw the verbiage on there. The 17 government cannot tell you how she came to have that 18 direction. But we are simply showing these to you to 19 demonstrate a pattern. She is not one of the victims 02:29PM 20 who came forward. Okay? 21 And, finally, if I can show 9. 22 (Government's Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) 23 24 MS. KOLMAN: Okay. And if we can take that 25 down.

That, again, is another one for the indication -- we were prepared again to show that.

There you can see that fits into the sadomasochism with the candle wax, and, again, the names. We do not have a face with that.

I think on that one, you would not -- would you have counted that as a child?

That one would not have been counted as child pornography. But, again, that goes to show you the pattern that went with the threats that you have seen.

Now, I think these things are very important when you are talking about the situation of whether or not you want to depart based on other cases because here you have -- in this case, there is clearly way more than four victims. We have four known victims. And isn't that enough? Enough that these children -- every single one of them indicated that they were thinking of killing themselves because of this and they came forward.

I think it's important to take into consideration the defendant was college educated, and at the time, we're talking about a three-year time span, because, yes, in fact, he was working at that cellphone store for a short period of time. He did the same thing. He got a misdemeanor, and he went back out and did it again.

02:29PM

02:30PM 20

And you're not talking about a few kids. You're talking about a girl from Virginia. You're talking about a girl from North Carolina. You're talking about a girl from Sweden. I believe Minnesota was our other one. These are all over. These are just our known girls. You have got dozens of unidentified victims that are literally all over the world.

The other fact that exacerbates this situation is the threats that he made. You saw one of those They're very specific. And it is also in the threats. He did, in fact, distribute because when law enforcement took over AN's persona on the social media, to prove that he had those pics and that he was willing to do it, he sent those to her, which is really one of the counts which drove up his numbers. All right?

Most importantly, Your Honor, is his choice of victims. This is a now 30-year-old man. He could have chosen any age bracket that he wanted, but he chose what I call tweeners, those young -- they're not quite, you know, the older teens, but they're not -- they're right there. They're those girls that are so fragile.

We all have been there as a female girl. want to believe that we're so mature. We're hit with social media, with TV, all these things with sexuality and having a boyfriend and being accepted and having

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

02:32PM 20

21

22

breasts and being cool and being able to do this, and now we're being inundated with this social media that you have to be on or else you're nobody. And you want to be cool and you want to be confident, but you don't have the mental capacity yet. Your brain literally is not developed enough. And that's why we have these laws for predators like this defendant. That's his choice to go after all those girls who want to be older, think they can handle it. But that's just the thing, they can't.

And you saw where they were talking about killing themselves. This isn't just an idle threat for these girls. I don't know if the Court's aware, but there is a very famous case, Amanda Todd from British Columbia. It's a very powerful thing. But this all started -- the same kind of thing. Guy asked her to show her breasts. She lifted it up, and the horrible things that happened after that. She ended up doing a nine-minute video just telling everything that happened. The next day, she hung herself. These girls will do that.

You know, the victim from Sweden couldn't -- didn't want -- she came forward, but she was just beyond herself. She didn't want her parents to know. He was threatening to send all these things out

02:33PM

to her school, and all she had wanted from him was to be able to have help with her homework. And now she was threatened that her whole life -- and to her, that is her whole life is her school. These aren't things that we can minimize just because they're 15-year-olds. They will take their lives because of this.

Because of these threats, he doesn't just go away when they said, "I don't want to do it anymore." He demanded more.

And that was his job that was going on, by the way. He wasn't working. He was literally laying on his bed, and he could roll over and there was the computer. And he was day and night with the amount of these people. That was his choice. He kept it up. He could have let them go when they didn't want to do it anymore, but that made him mad and he threatened them.

Your Honor, I heard Mr. Sharp talking about his remorse. I'm not really sure that's true. Let me show you what's been marked as Government's 11, which is texting messages that go back and forth from the jail. They have -- the agents can pick these up. The defendants have no expectation of privacy. And I tender this in as Government's Exhibit 11.

(Government's Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.)

02:34PM 10

02:35PM

```
1
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, any objections to
       2
          Government's Exhibit 11?
       3
                    MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor. But I do
       4
           want an opportunity to respond to a lot of this.
       5
                    THE COURT: Absolutely, Mr. Sharp. We'll give
       6
          you that opportunity.
       7
                    MR. SHARP: Thank you, Your Honor.
                    MS. KOLMAN: Okay. I think here it's --
       8
       9
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, if you'd just give me a
           second to actually read the text message.
02:35PM
      10
      11
                    MS. KOLMAN: Sure. Yes.
      12
                    THE COURT: Thank you.
      13
                    MS. KOLMAN: Okay. A little bit further down
      14
           in 11, I pulled out this section (indicating).
      15
                    THE COURT: Is 11 a compendium of text
      16
          messaging? I'm only seeing a piece of it here.
      17
                    MS. KOLMAN: Your Honor, it's a long text, and
      18
           a lot of it is talking about things he's talked about
      19
           with his attorneys, which is why I pulled out --
02:36PM
     20
                    THE COURT: Okay.
      21
                    MS. KOLMAN: -- just specific parts.
      22
                    So if you could go to the next --
      23
                    All right. And so what we're seeing here, Your
      24
          Honor, is that -- I think this kind of speaks to it, and
      25
           I -- I don't think that he even -- he had so many
```

victims that he didn't even know which ones came and 2 made these cases against him. And I don't know if there 3 is anything else, but that certainly speaks volumes to 4 the amount of people that were affected by this defendant. 5 6 And if we could go to Government's Exhibit 12, 7 which is another --8 THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, just briefly --9 MS. KOLMAN: Yes. THE COURT: -- to make sure the record is 10 11 clear, Mr. Sharp, you have no objection to 11 in its 12 current form? Do we need to redact it? What's its current state? 13 14 That's a good question, Your Honor. MR. SHARP: 15 I probably need to read the entire thing. I think we're 16 missing some context in this particular email. 17 understand Ms. Kolman's concern about things that he had 18 spoken with me about. There is actually quite a bit 19 that he had spoken to me about. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, have you been given a copy of Government's Exhibit 11? 21 22 MR. SHARP: I do have it, Your Honor, yes. 23 MS. KOLMAN: I can --24 THE COURT: The parties can appreciate that the

02:37PM

02:37PM

25

record needs to be clear in a case like this.

MS. KOLMAN: Why don't I -- I'm not going to 1 2 move to tender it at this point. And we can discuss 3 Whatever he wants to do. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. That's fine. 5 MS. KOLMAN: And I'll just go on with 12, which, again, I did parse out. And I'll not try to 6 7 tender it at this point, and I'll let Mr. Sharp and I 8 discuss that. 9 (Government's Exhibit 12 was marked for identification.) MS. KOLMAN: But this, Your Honor, goes to the

restitution with regards to the victim of the BluePillow series. And this is -- the BluePillow series is one of the series -- in other words, that NCMEC has identified this little girl, and she -- every time a defendant has one of hers, it gets sent to NCMEC. They identify it and they send it back to us and they say, okay, as in this case, there are 49 of those, and then we get information from their attorneys; if they want to go forward, how much money they want, what have you.

In this case, the government contacted their attorney and said, "I think what's best is probably the minimum, " and they were fine with that.

child, which, by the way, she was molested and had CP

produced on her from the time she was five through 12 years of age. So --

THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, so the record is clear, you're not moving Government's Exhibit 12?

MS. KOLMAN: Not at this point. I will -- yes.

So I think those are very poignant to indicate the defendant's -- you know, just his whole feelings about what he's done to these girls.

I would like to speak shortly about his -- I'm sorry the defendant was abused, if that is, in fact, the case. I'm sorry that he has mental illness; that -- his bipolar, his obsessive-compulsive; that his father passed away and that he was homeless for a while. Those things are all probably true.

Here is the part that's missing, and it's a big part, is that there is absolutely no connection between any of those things or all of them together to show why he did this to all these girls, and why he did it even after he had been convicted in 2016, and went full board. I mean, he didn't dabble in it; he went into the deep end.

And so I think that speaks loudly to the 3553 factors about making our community safe and the deterrent factor, the specific deterrent factor. I think the -- as I got into this case and as I started

02:40PM 10

02:40PM

looking at it, I understand the concern that it's not a contact sex crime. But when you start looking at it and you delve into it and you talk to these girls and you see the angst that they're going through and just the number of girls that are going to be affected for the rest of their lives, that is not something that we can just take lightly. And so the government's thought would be that 30 years would be appropriate.

Nothing further.

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, I'd allow you rebuttal.

MR. SHARP: Thank you, Your Honor.

And there was a lot there, certainly. I just want to clarify some things. And by no means am what I going to argue at this point is to try to distract from Mr. Watson's remorse for his conduct. I just want to put some of this in context of what we're dealing with.

And I think the first thing I would point out are the computer screens that were shown that had the list of names and the images next to the list of names. I think one actually speaks to Mr. Watson's mental illness, particularly when it comes to OCD. I mean, he was very much obsessive-compulsive, and he did file these things and he did put them in names.

And speaking to Mr. Watson very early on in this case, many of these individuals, the vast majority

02:42PM 20

of the individuals are adults that he was in contact with. These aren't -- there is not proof that there are, you know, dozens and dozens of female victims all across the world. We just don't have that.

The reality is that he was meeting these individuals in specific chat groups, and some of them were S&M chat groups that there is -- there is everything out there for everyone and people congregate in these specific places and they know where to find each other.

So a lot of those photos, one, were adults, and, two, were consensual adults that were doing these things; you know, that were doing the things with the hot wax and they were writing on themselves.

I'm not trying to minimize what happened to these victims. That's completely different. But I also don't want Mr. Watson to be punished for things that consenting adults were doing that are connected -- you know, the internet makes the world a much smaller place when it comes to internet connectivity.

So I think this idea that he's out hunting for tweeners is a little misplaced. Certainly he came across teenagers and started these back-and-forth conversations and committed these offenses for which he's come in and pled guilty and acknowledged, and did

02:43PM 10

02:44PM 20

so very, very early. But a vast majority of the things that were on these hard drives was completely legal between consenting adults; right? Now, not the stuff with the minors, and that's not what I'm talking about.

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, have you reviewed these hard drives in full such that you can make that type of assertion to the Court, "a vast majority"?

MR. SHARP: Your Honor, based on the photos that they show, those three pages of that hard drive, I have worked with this investigative unit long enough to know that if there were dozens and dozens of female victims that they would have brought them forth. That's what they do.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHARP: So when you look at the number of images that he's actually held accountable for that are, in fact, classified as child pornography, there are tons more images on these devices that are not counted that are adults, and clearly are adults. And I don't think that that's a misrepresentation. There is clearly adult pornography on these devices. And I would say that the majority of it is adult pornography.

Mr. Watson does have obsessive-compulsive disorder. He did file these things. A lot of those names that you see on those screens are the user names

02:44PM

02:45PM 20

of the individuals that are posting these pictures. 2 when he saved them, he saved them under the name that 3 the individual used on their screen. He wasn't renaming 4 every person that he took a photo of. 5 So that's what I talk about when I'm talking 6 about context of what we're pulling out on some of these 7 devices, Your Honor. 8 And in regard to the email communications or 9 the text communications from the Blount County jail that 10 he sent to family members, the first one, Your Honor, 02:46PM 11 this was the November 30th, 2020, I would note the time 12 of which it was sent was 4:58 a.m. And, again, you have 13 to -- you'd have to --14 THE COURT: And, Mr. Sharp, again, I think we 15 need to be a little more precise with the record here. 16 Is that Government's Exhibit 11 or Government's 17 Exhibit 12? 18 MR. SHARP: That is Government's Exhibit 11, 19 Your Honor. 02:46PM 20 THE COURT: Okay. Government's Exhibit 11. 21 MR. SHARP: Yeah. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And that was the one -- I would ask 23 MR. SHARP: 24 that the entirety of that email be presented, Your 25 Honor. I understand the government's concern, but I

don't believe that there is anything in there --2 THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, before we move any 3 further on this, this has been a little bit less than 4 precise. At this point, it may be helpful for the 5 Court, and perhaps even the parties, to take a brief 6 recess for the parties to take a look at Government's 7 Exhibit 3, 13, 6-A, 6, 11, and 12 and make proper determinations as to whether there are objections to any 8 or all of those exhibits. Then the Court will have a 9 10 full record upon which to make these types of 02:47PM 11 determinations and counsel can make focused arguments 12 based on what is in and out of the record. MR. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. The Court is going to take 15 a -- well, would ten minutes be permissible, Mr. Sharp? 16 MR. SHARP: I think that would be sufficient, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kolman, same question: 19 Would ten minutes be sufficient to review --02:47PM 20 MS. KOLMAN: Yes. THE COURT: -- those exhibits that have already 21 22 been shown to the Court --23 MS. KOLMAN: Yes. 24 THE COURT: -- and not admitted? 25 MS. KOLMAN: Sure.

1 THE COURT: Okay. The Court is going to take a 2 ten-minute recess until 3 o'clock. 3 Thank you. MR. SHARP: 4 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This 5 honorable court stands in recess until 3 o'clock. (A brief recess was taken.) 6 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and 8 come to order. 9 THE COURT: The parties have provided to the government a list of the -- or -- I'm sorry. 03:12PM 10 11 The parties have provided to the Court a list 12 of the government's exhibits that have been shown in some form during today's sentencing hearing. 13 14 Mr. Sharp, do you have a copy of this list? 15 MR. SHARP: We just reviewed it, Your Honor, 16 but I don't -- it was -- let me just make sure, Your 17 Honor. I know it's 3, 13, 6-A, 11, and 12. Those were 18 the five government exhibits that I --19 THE COURT: That is not consistent with the 20 03:13PM list that the Court has been given. 21 Okay. Give us just a second. The Court will 22 make a copy of the list that it's been given and then we're all working off of the same song sheet. 23 24 Mr. Sharp, I'll give you a second to look over 25 that form.

MR. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor, that looks 1 2 complete to me. 3 THE COURT: Here is what we're going to do: 4 We're going to look at each of these exhibits. I'm 5 going to indicate to the parties whether the Court has made a ruling on that exhibit, and if we need to hear 6 7 further objections as regards to each of those exhibits, we will do so. 8 9 May I ask the government to pull up the document that the they have marked as Exhibit 3. 03:15PM 10 11 Ms. Kolman, I understand that Exhibit 3 has a 12 number of pages. Is the page that we're seeing the sole 13 page that is attributable to Exhibit 3 as opposed to 3-A 14 or 3-B? 15 MS. KOLMAN: That is correct. This is 3. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, you have in front of you 17 Exhibit 3. The Court had previously admitted Exhibit 3. 18 Does Mr. Watson have any objections to the admission of 19 Exhibit 3? 03:15PM 20 MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kolman, may I ask you to 22 bring up Exhibit -- the exhibit that's been marked as 23 Exhibit 3-A by the government. 24 MS. KOLMAN: This is 3-A, Your Honor, that's on 25 the screen now.

```
1
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, by the government's --
       2
          or by the Court's count, 3-A has not been moved.
       3
          Ms. Kolman, would you move 3-A?
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, I would tender -- move to
       4
       5
          tender 3-A into evidence at this time.
       6
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, does Mr. Watson have any
       7
          objections to the admission of Government's Exhibit 3-A?
       8
                    MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.
       9
                    THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kolman, will you bring
      10
          up Government's Exhibit 3-B. The Court has not made any
03:16PM
      11
          determination as to 3-B. Would the government tender
      12
          3-B?
      13
                    (Government's Exhibit 3-B was marked for
      14
                     identification.)
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, the government
      15
      16
          would move to tender 3-B into evidence at this time.
      17
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, do you have any
      18
          objection to the admission of 3-B?
      19
                    MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.
03:16PM
      20
                    THE COURT: Okay. Dealing with all three of
      21
          these exhibits at once, to make sure that the record is
      22
          clear, Government's Exhibit 3 is admitted with no
      23
          objection; Government's Exhibit 3-A is admitted with no
      24
          objection; Government's Exhibit 3-B is admitted with no
      25
           objection.
```

```
1
                    (Government's Exhibits 3, 3-A, and 3-B were
       2
                    received into evidence.)
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, will you please pull up
       3
       4
          Government's Exhibit 4.
       5
                    MS. KOLMAN: That's Government's Exhibit 4,
          Your Honor.
       6
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, the Court has made no
       7
       8
          determination as to Government's Exhibit 4. Would the
       9
          government move for the admission of Government's
          Exhibit 4?
03:17PM
      10
      11
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, government
      12
          tenders Government's Exhibit 4 into evidence at this
      13
          time.
      14
                    THE COURT:
                                Mr. Sharp, does Mr. Watson have any
      15
          objection to the admission of Government's Exhibit 4?
      16
                    MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor. I do ask
      17
          for the opportunity to respond to rebut some of these.
      18
                    THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sharp, right now we're
      19
           just dealing with the admissibility of the specific
          exhibits so the record is clear. Sentencing
03:17PM
     20
      21
          recommendations, 3553(a) analysis will be completed
      22
          after we've gotten through this precise process.
      23
                    MR. SHARP: Thank you, Your Honor.
      24
          objection.
                    THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 4 will be
      25
```

```
admitted with no objection.
       2
                    (Government's Exhibit 4 was received into
       3
                     evidence.)
       4
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, will you please bring
       5
           up Government's Exhibit 6.
       6
                    MS. KOLMAN: Your Honor, this is Government's
       7
           Exhibit 6 on the screen.
                    THE COURT: The Court has made no determination
       8
       9
           as to the admissibility of Government's Exhibit 6.
      10
                    Ms. Kolman, would the government -- or -- I'm
03:18PM
      11
           sorry. The Court has made no determination as to the
      12
           admissibility of Government's Exhibit 6. Would the
      13
           government like to tender Government's Exhibit 6?
      14
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, the government at
      15
           this time tenders Government's Exhibit 6 into evidence,
      16
          please.
      17
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, does Mr. Watson have any
      18
           objection to Government's Exhibit 6?
      19
                    MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.
                    THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 6 will be
03:18PM
      20
           admitted.
      21
      22
                    (Government's Exhibit 6 was received into
      23
                     evidence.)
      24
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, will you please pull up
      25
           Government's Exhibit 6-A.
```

```
1
                    MS. KOLMAN: This is Exhibit 6-A, Your Honor.
       2
                    THE COURT: Okay. Again, the Court has not
       3
          made a determination as to the admissibility of
       4
           Government's Exhibit 6-A. Would the government like to
       5
          move Exhibit 6-A?
       6
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, the government requests that
           6-A be tendered into evidence at this time.
       7
       8
                    THE COURT:
                                Mr. Sharp, does Mr. Watson have any
       9
           objections to Government's Exhibit 6-A?
                    MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.
03:19PM
      10
      11
                    THE COURT: 6-A will be admitted.
      12
                    (Government's Exhibit 6-A was received into
      13
                    evidence.)
      14
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, will you please pull up
      15
          Government's Exhibit 9.
      16
                    MS. KOLMAN: This is 9, Your Honor.
      17
                    THE COURT: The Court has not made any
      18
          determination as to the admissibility of Government's
      19
           Exhibit 9. Would the government tender Government's
03:19PM
      20
          Exhibit 9 at this time?
      21
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, government
           tenders Government's Exhibit 9 into evidence, please.
      22
      23
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, does Mr. Watson have any
      24
           objection to the admissibility of Government's
      25
           Exhibit 9?
```

MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Government's Exhibit 9 will 3 be admitted with no objection. 4 (Government's Exhibit 9 was received into 5 evidence.) THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 11. 6 7 Ms. Kolman, can we pull that up? 8 MS. KOLMAN: Yes. Your Honor, we are going to 9 pull up the full text at this time, to which we both 10 03:19PM agree. THE COURT: Okay. 11 12 MS. KOLMAN: And that is what is on the screen. 13 THE COURT: In terms of the situation with this 14 particular exhibit, the Court had admitted the exhibit, 15 but then we had a late objection. So right now we're 16 going to wipe that slate clean. 17 Ms. Kolman, would the government move 18 Government's Exhibit 11 in its current form to be 19 admitted in this hearing? 03:20PM 20 MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor. The government 21 would move to tender Government's Exhibit 11 into 22 evidence at this time. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sharp, any objection to 24 the admission of Government's Exhibit 11? 25 MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sharp, the Court notes 2 that Government's Exhibit 11 appears to relay some 3 communications that you may have had with Mr. Watson. 4 Mr. Watson has an attorney/client privilege to keep those records safe and off of the Court's docket as a 5 matter of course, but Mr. Watson can waive that 6 7 privilege if he would like to do so and finds it to be 8 advantageous. Is that something that he would like to 9 do now with respect to Government's Exhibit 11? Yes, Your Honor, he would waive 03:21PM 10 MR. SHARP: 11 attorney/client privilege with respect to Exhibit 11. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. With that, the Court will admit Government's Exhibit 11. 13 14 (Government's Exhibit 11 was received into 15 evidence.) 16 THE COURT: Next document that I have is 17 Government's Exhibit 12. Can we pull up Government's 18 Exhibit 12. 19 MS. KOLMAN: Your Honor, at this time, the 20 03:21PM government is pulling up the entirety of Government's Exhibit 12 for the Court. 21 22 The Court's not made a THE COURT: Okay. determination as to Government's Exhibit 12. Would the 23 24 government like to move for the admission of 25 Government's Exhibit 12?

```
1
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, at this time, we
       2
          would ask that Government's Exhibit 12 in its entirety
       3
          be tendered into evidence.
                    THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sharp, does Mr. Watson
       4
       5
          have any objection to the admission of Government's
          Exhibit 12?
       6
       7
                    MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.
                    THE COURT: Just as with Government's
       8
       9
          Exhibit 11, Government's Exhibit 12 appears to relay
      10
          some information that might have come from Mr. Sharp to
03:21PM
      11
          Mr. Watson. Again, Mr. Watson has attorney/client
      12
          privilege rights. He may waive those rights if he would
      13
          like to do so. Is that something that he would like to
      14
          do here?
                    MR. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor, he would waive
      15
      16
          attorney/client privilege as it relates to Exhibit 12.
      17
                    THE COURT: Okay. The Court will admit
      18
          Government's Exhibit 12 noting the waiver of privilege.
      19
                    (Government's Exhibit 12 was received into
03:22PM
      20
                     evidence.)
      21
                    THE COURT: And last, from my count, we have
      22
          Government's Exhibit 13.
      23
                    Ms. Kolman, will you please pull up
      24
          Government's Exhibit 13.
      25
                    MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
```

1 THE COURT: Does the -- the Court had 2 previously admitted Government's Exhibit 13, but, for 3 the avoidance of any doubt, is going to give Mr. Sharp 4 an opportunity to object at this time. 5 MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor. Okay. With that, Government's 6 THE COURT: 7 Exhibit 13 will be fully admitted with no objection from Mr. Watson. 8 9 Okay. By the Court's count, those are all of the government's exhibits that we have viewed in some 03:22PM 10 11 form today at this hearing. 12 Ms. Kolman, does that match your recollection and record? 13 14 MS. KOLMAN: It does, Your Honor. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, does that match your 16 recollection and record? 17 MR. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Okay. That brings us back to the 19 parties making their arguments with respect to an 20 03:23PM appropriate sentence, any variances, and the 3553(a) 21 factors. 22 Before I allow Mr. Sharp a brief additional period of time to address any remaining issues that need 23 24 to be addressed before sentencing, Ms. Kolman, at the

end of your last statements, you suggested that a

sentence of 30 years would be appropriate. That is inconsistent with the previous sentencing memo that the United States had filed but something that the United States is actually permitted to do.

To make sure that the Court understands the United States' recommendation in this case, is the United States recommending a downward variance to a term of 30 years' imprisonment?

MS. KOLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, we believe that that would be sufficient, but not greater than necessary.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Kolman.

With that, Mr. Sharp, I'll permit you a brief opportunity to present additional argument.

MR. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor.

And one thing that I do want to clarify, in speaking with Detective Williams during the recess, I don't want to be misconstrued in any way on my representations to the Court.

The amount of material that was found on these computers, much of it was unable to be determined and whether it was adult or not adult pornography. So I didn't mean to give the impression, but there wasn't a situation where Mr. Watson would have been charged under those circumstances. And my biggest concern is that we

03:24PM

hold any type of speculation against Mr. Watson. I know that the Court doesn't want to do that. I know the government doesn't want to do that, and that was really my argument when we're talking about all these additional images that were found on those computers.

So --

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, thank you for that clarification. The Court appreciates it. And I'll tell you, the Court is not going to consider the statement that you made with respect to sentencing.

MR. SHARP: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

The other exhibit that I do want to take up,
though, Your Honor, was the Exhibit 11; specifically,
the text message from Mr. Watson to his family member
dated November 30th of 2020.

And just to put some context on that, this was during the period of time that I had received the PSR report. I was surprised with the findings in the report because they did not come close to my own calculations. Due to COVID restrictions, I could not see Mr. Watson. As a course of practice, I do not send PSRs of this nature to the jail for client safety concerns.

I was able to secure a phone call with Mr. Watson shortly before this date in late November. Although I had the PSR for several weeks, we had a lot

03:25PM

of communication issues during this period of time with clients. I had gone over that, and I expressed to him that I was wrong on his guideline calculation. And I also would think it's important to recognize that the time of day of that email was 5 a.m. in the morning. I think 4:58.

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, just a brief clarifying question on that. You were wrong because you had not taken into account the expanded relevant conduct and you later learned about that expanded relevant conduct.

MR. SHARP: Exactly, Your Honor. When we signed the plea agreement, we did not have the relevant conduct information. So he was under the impression, and rightfully so, because that's what I had told him, that the guideline range was 188 to 210 when we signed the plea agreement. And then by the time -- and this case was delayed multiple times due to the pandemic.

You know, there is argument to be made that had it not been delayed, those analytics would not have come back. He would have been sentenced before that happened. But that's neither here nor there under the --

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, just, again, to make sure the record is clear on this, the plea agreement also contains an admonition that these may not be all of

03:26РМ 10

03:26PM

the facts relevant to the case and there may be additional facts that are relevant to sentencing; isn't that right?

MR. SHARP: That's correct, Your Honor. And certainly Mr. Watson doesn't say that anything that I told him was binding, because it's not. And that's handled in the change of plea as well; that it's not a binding -- anything that his attorney tells him is not binding on the Court as far as guideline sentences go.

But as far as context of this particular text, he had just found out that he was looking at 15 to 20 and now he's looking at life. So he was upset. Very understandably, he was upset with me. And I do not begrudge him that at any point during that conversation that we had because I was delivering some very bad news to him.

His frustration, though, was with myself. It was with the government. And I don't want anything that is in there to be taken out of context. And I know he puts a line in there that any guilt that he felt he no longer feels. That was a frustrated 5:00 a.m. text to his sister after he found out that his guidelines had essentially doubled.

So I would just ask the Court to take it in that time frame and in the context of which it actually

03:27РМ 10

03:27PM 20

occurred. And I don't want it to be construed as something that he does not have remorse for these victims. I've met with him several times since that date. He has expressed remorse to me on multiple occasions.

And in addition to Exhibit 11, Your Honor, Exhibit 12, a lot along the same lines. You know, we did agree to a \$3,000 restitution figure. Mr. Watson is not the first client I've had that didn't realize that downloading images, you have to pay the victims of the images that are known. I think a lot of people don't understand the reach and the damage that it does to these individuals.

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, I may be able to limit some of your argument here. I'll tell you: The Court is not moved by Government's Exhibit 11 or 12.

MR. SHARP: Okay. Your Honor, if that is the case, then I have nothing further to add. I just wanted to make sure that that wasn't going to be a detriment to Mr. Watson.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SHARP: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, given the length of time that we've spent here today, I would give you a brief two minutes if you'd like to add anything further.

03:28PM 10

03:29PM 20

1 MS. KOLMAN: No, thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Watson, you have the 3 right to make a statement or to present any information 4 to mitigate the sentence in this case. Do you wish to 5 make a statement or to present any information? THE DEFENDANT: I would like to, Your Honor, if 6 7 I may. THE COURT: Mr. Watson, please proceed. 8 9 MR. SHARP: Do you want him at the podium, Your 03:29PM 10 Honor, or at counsel table? 11 Mr. Watson, please remain at THE COURT: 12 counsel table. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 14 Your Honor, before I begin, I do want to 15 apologize briefly if I seem disjointed in what I'm 16 saying. It's very difficult to prepare what I was going 17 to say because this date has changed not only so many 18 times, but, also, as my attorney has said, I'm an 19 obsessive-compulsive. I have probably gone over what 20 03:30PM I'm going to say thousands of times. 21 I've been in Blount County for 22 months now, 22 and there is not much more to do than think. And last 23 night, after I was going over what I was going to say 24 and I was about to try to get some sleep, I did 25 something that I don't typically do. I actually said a

prayer. I said, "God, if I'm not supposed to say this, send me some kind of sign. This is the last chance for me to not screw up about what I'm supposed to say." And then something very strange happened. Not even two minutes later, some people came up and an altercation happened in front of me, in front of all of us. One of the young men in there, he's Hispanic and he can't speak English, and no one understands what he's saying. And the altercation started over them not comprehending each other, basically. He was confused. He didn't get why people had a problem, basically.

I feel that we, as a country, almost, are in that kind of situation with people with mental illness because we're not -- we're not asking questions of how and why and we're so focused on what happens that we don't -- we don't fully get the breadth of where it comes from. And if we don't understand the root of the problem, we're just going to be putting Band-Aids on it. We're going to be stopping the symptoms, not the illness.

But what was so strange, Your Honor, is: At the end of the altercation, the young man who speaks

Spanish -- I speak a little bit of Spanish. I understood most of what he was saying. He actually -- he said to the other man, "I'm sorry for

03:31PM

what you're going through." The man who started the fight with him, he said, "I'm sorry for what you're going through. We don't deserve to go through this together. I forgive you."

At that moment, I realized I will never get the opportunity to apologize to these people. I know exactly who these girls are, Your Honor. My memory does not allow me to forget many things like that. I will never get to look them in the eye and say, "I am sorry for what I did to you. I am sorry for us being in this situation together. I'm sorry for whatever the circumstances were that even brought you to this situation as well. I can't make it better. I can only tell you that I will do everything I can to make it up to other people."

Your Honor, when we don't understand the root of problems when we have the ability to understand them, we fear them. We fear criminals because we don't know why they do what they're doing. We fear sex offenders because we don't know what causes them to behave different than what we consider normal. But once we start understanding and come to grips with mental illness, we will be able to help more people and we'll be able to cut down on these problems.

We're seeing it with COVID, with the pandemic;

03:32PM 10

03:33PM 20

suicide rates are up, violence is up because people are having more issues that they can't treat. And I'm hoping that you listening to me will let you understand me a little bit better in a good mental state and understand that I am not someone to be feared, Your Honor.

I understand that what I did wasn't just wrong, it was horrific. It was something I could argue was even worse than what was done to me as a child. hope that you understand that -- that I can't help people from prison as well. I'm asking you, if anything, for the women that are behind me right now, for them suffering more than I am suffering because they had nothing to do with any of this. I did this. deserve to be punished. These three women behind me and the rest of my family don't deserve to be punished for this, but they are.

I'm asking you to give me whatever sentence you deem appropriate; not even necessarily what you deem is right, but what you feel is best for everyone involved.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Sharp, do you have anything you would like to add on behalf of Mr. Watson?

MR. SHARP: No, Your Honor, nothing further.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kolman, would the government like to make a final statement?

MS. KOLMAN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court appreciates the statements of counsel and the defendant. The Court has carefully reviewed the Presentence Report and considered the arguments presented by the government and by Mr. Watson.

In a manner intended to comply with the Sixth Circuit's jurisprudence since Booker rendered the sentencing guidelines advisory and Gall's requirements that the Court make an individual assessment based on the facts presented and adequately explain the chosen sentence, the Court will explain its reasons for the sentence to be imposed in this case.

In addition to the guidelines range, the Court has considered the factors set forth in 18 United States Code § 3553(a) in fashioning an appropriate sentence for Mr. Watson.

First, the Court considers the nature and circumstances of the offense. Mr. Watson's crimes are serious, directly negatively, and forever impacting the lives of at least four minor victims. He solicited nude pictures from four minor victims by pretending to be in

03:34PM 10

03:35PM 20

relationships with them. Once he received the images of child pornography, he blackmailed the victims for additional images and videos threatening to post their pictures online or send them to the victim's school if she did not comply.

His specific demands were extraordinarily demeaning, including instructions for a victim to mark her body with his name and for how she should pose explicitly in pictures that she would send to him.

Further, Mr. Watson victimized many additional children through the collection of child pornography stored on his computer and hard drive. In total, 11,447 images of child pornography were located on Mr. Watson's computer. These depictions included particularly vile images, including bestiality, prepubescent minors under the age of 12 displaying their genitals in a lewd and lascivious manner, and the sexual abuse or exploitation of an infant or a toddler.

But the Court also considers the history and circumstances of the defendant. Mr. Watson is 30 years old. Mr. Watson has suffered from depression and anxiety, as well as other mental illness. Mr. Watson has regularly used methamphetamine and has experience with other drugs.

Mr. Watson's criminal record indicates that he

03:36PM 10

03:37PM 20

has sexually preyed on a young girl before. Mr. Watson was convicted in May 2016 of harassment when he, while working as a salesperson at a wireless carrier, helped a 15-year-old girl purchase a cellphone. Mr. Watson then texted the victim requesting that she send nude photos of herself to him, including a picture of her vagina. Mr. Watson acknowledged that he knew the victim was only 15 years old at the time he solicited those photos.

At the time of his conviction for that offense, Mr. Watson had already begun collecting the images of child pornography that are part of the expanded relevant conduct here.

The month prior to his conviction in that case in April of 2016, Mr. Watson initiated contact with the first victim in this case. He would then threaten them into sending nude photographs.

The law requires a sentencing judge to impose a sentence which is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to achieve the sentencing objectives set forth in § 3553(a)(2). That includes the need to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide a just punishment.

The instant offenses are extremely serious.

Possession and distribution of child pornography is

exploitative and deprives victims of their agency and

03:38РМ 10

03:38PM

childhood.

Here, Mr. Watson preyed on his victim's trust and naiveté forcing them to send him images of child pornography upon threats of posting on the internet existing sexually-explicit photos they had sent to him. Two of his victims were so distraught by Mr. Watson's conduct that they seriously contemplated suicide.

Mr. Watson understood the seriousness of his offenses, but chose to commit these crimes anyway. In a conversation with one of his victims, he acknowledged the criminality of his behavior stating, and I quote, "Only one person risks jail time right now, and it's not you."

The Court also considers the need for adequate deterrence. General deterrence is necessary for sexual predators who exploit their child victims through the internet and social media applications which obscure the predator's identity and allow the predator to prey on victims worldwide.

As to specific deterrence, Mr. Watson's 2016 conviction for harassment of a minor with sexually-explicit requests did not deter him from engaging in further criminal conduct in victimizing additional minors.

Mr. Watson began threatening his first victim

03:39PM

03:39PM 20

the month prior to the finality of his harassment conviction and Mr. Watson continued his criminal conduct for three additional years.

An extensive term of imprisonment is needed to deter Mr. Watson from engaging in further criminal conduct and victimizing more children, and an extensive period of supervised release is also required for the same reason.

The Court also considers the need for protection of the public from further crimes of the defendant. Mr. Watson is a danger to our community. Through the use of the internet and social media applications within the Eastern District of Tennessee, Mr. Watson victimized children from other states and even another country.

Henley, one of Mr. Watson's victims, bravely described the lasting effects of the abuse she incurred at the hands of him and other predators after pornographic images of her as a child were circulated online. She writes that feelings of guilt and shame linger and the effects of the ongoing abuse are irregular and unpredictable due to PTSD, anxiety, and triggers. She described anxiety attacks so acute that she can't breathe, see, or move, and she may start to scream or pass out, not remembering what happened when

03:41PM

she woke up. She says that she is scared to leave the house, has an extreme fear of being alone, especially at night. Due to the ongoing abuse inflicted on the victim by those circulating the images of her as a child, she has to keep her identity secret to protect herself and ensure her safety from pedophiles who have seen her image.

She must contend with so-called fan mail and the fear of unexpected encounters in public by predators, making it crippling to go anywhere, especially to go anywhere alone. Mr. Watson deprived Henley and his other victims of an overall sense of dignity and self worth.

The Court also considers the need to provide educational and vocational training and medical care or other correctional treatment. Mr. Watson has struggled with mental health issues, including thoughts of suicide and substance abuse, and he has experienced serious mental illness.

Mr. Watson further reports that he was subject to sexual abuse as a child. The Court considers these factors and the Court will recommend that Mr. Watson have the opportunity to receive substance abuse and mental health treatment while incarcerated and thereafter.

03:42PM

03:42PM 20

The Court also considers the kinds of sentences available and the kinds of sentences and sentencing range established. Here, the mandatory minimum for Count One is 15 years with a maximum term of 30 years, and Count Three carries a minimum term of imprisonment of five years with a maximum 20 years.

The Court also considered any pertinent

Sentencing Commission policy statements and the need to

avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities with

similarly-situated defendants.

Mr. Watson argues in his sentencing memorandum that multiple other defendants convicted of almost identical conduct have received much lower sentences than his guidelines range.

While it is true the conduct for which

Mr. Watson was convicted, that is, his offense conduct,

was initially estimated to yield a lower guidelines

range, the guidelines also require consideration of

expanded relevant conduct.

The expanded relevant conduct here includes the possession of 11,447 images of child pornography processed from Mr. Watson's computer and hard drive which increased his base offense level by 11 levels. The defendant is not subject to unwarranted sentencing disparities as compared to similarly-situated defendants

03:43PM 20

00 10111 =0

due to this expanded relevant conduct.

Finally, the Court considers the need to provide restitution for victims of the offense. Here, restitution is due and owing to one victim, Henley, in the amount of \$3,000.

As discussed, however, Henley was far from Mr. Watson's only victim. The Presentence Report notes four additional victims that Mr. Watson directly threatened, extorted, and victimized, and he further victimized still other victims through possessing and distributing images of child pornography.

Before I impose the sentence on the record, are there any objections?

Ms. Kolman, from the United States?

MS. KOLMAN: No objections.

THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, on behalf of Mr. Watson?

MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court has considered the nature and circumstances of the offense, the history and characteristics of Mr. Watson, and the advisory guidelines range, as well as the other factors listed in 18 United States Code § 3553(a).

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court as to Count One and Three of the Indictment that the defendant, Preston

03:44PM 10

03:45PM 20

Andrew Watson, is hereby committed to the Bureau of Prisons for a term of imprisonment of 360 months.

This sentence consists of 360 months as to Count One and 240 months as to Count Three to run concurrently pursuant to Guideline Section 5G1.2.

This sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of 18 United States Code § 3553.

It is ordered that you forfeit your interest in the property as outlined in the agreed preliminary order of forfeiture filed with the court.

It is ordered that you shall make restitution to the following victims in the following amounts: \$3,000 to Henley of the BluePillow1 series. The restitution shall be paid in full immediately. The government may enforce the full amount of restitution ordered at any time pursuant to 18 United States Code §§ 3612, 3613, and 3664(m).

The United States Bureau of Prisons, the United States Probation Office, and the United States
Attorney's Office shall monitor the payment of restitution and reassess and report to the Court any material change in your ability to pay. You shall make restitution payments from any wages you may earn in prison in accordance with the Bureau of Prisons Inmate

03:46PM 10

03:46РМ 20

Financial Responsibility Program. Any portion of the restitution that is not paid in full at the time of your release from imprisonment shall become a condition of your supervision.

The Court finds that you do not have the ability to pay interest on the restitution ordered; therefore, interest is waived.

The Court recommends that you receive 500 hours of substance abuse treatment from the Bureau of Prisons' Institution Residential Drug Abuse Treatment Program.

The Court recommends that you receive a mental health evaluation and treatment while in the Bureau of Prisons.

Upon release from imprisonment, you shall be placed on supervised release for a term of 20 years as to each of Count One and Three to be served concurrently.

While on supervised release, you must not commit another federal, state, or local crime. You must not unlawfully possess and must refrain from use of a controlled substance. You must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court in Local Rule 83.10. In particular, you must not own, possess, or have access to a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or a dangerous weapon.

03:47PM

03:47PM

You must cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by your probation officer. In addition, you shall comply with the following special conditions: You shall comply with the special conditions for sex offenders as adopted by this court in Standing Order 15-06. Specifically, you shall comply with the following conditions: Standing Order 15-06(1), Standing Order 15-06(2), Standing Order 15-06(3), Standing Order 15-06(4), Standing Order 15-06(5), Standing Order 15-06(6), Standing Order 15-06(8), Standing Order 15-06(9), Standing Order 15-06(10), Standing Order 15-06(11), Standing Order 15-06(12), and Standing Order 15-06(13).

You shall register with the state Sex Offender Registry in any state in which you reside, are employed, or are a student in compliance with the state Sex Offender Registry. You shall pay any financial penalty that is imposed by this judgment and that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release.

You shall provide your probation officer with access to any requested financial information.

You shall not incur new credit charges on existing accounts or apply for additional lines of credit without permission of the probation officer until the restitution in this case has been paid in full.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

In addition, you shall not enter into any contractual agreements which obligate funds without the permission of your probation officer.

You shall participate in a program of testing and/or treatment for drugs and/or alcohol abuse as directed by your probation officer until such time as you're released from the program by your probation officer.

You shall participate in a program of mental health treatment as directed by your probation officer until such time as you are released from the program by your probation officer.

You shall waive all rights to confidentiality regarding mental health treatment in order to allow release of information to the supervising probation officer and to authorize open communication between the probation officer and the mental health treatment provider.

You shall take all medication prescribed by the treatment program as directed. If deemed appropriate by the treatment provider or your probation officer, you shall submit to quarterly blood tests to determine whether you are taking your medication as prescribed.

You shall submit your person, property, house, residence, office, vehicle, papers, computers, other

03:50PM 10

03:50PM

electronic communications or data storage devices, or media, to a search conducted by a United States probation officer or his or her designee. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation of release. You shall warn any other occupants that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition.

An officer may conduct a search pursuant to this condition only when reasonable suspicion exists that you have violated a condition of supervision and the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation. Any search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner.

18 United States Code §§ 3565(b) and 3583(g) require mandatory revocation of probation or supervised release for possession of a controlled substance, ammunition, or a firearm, or for refusal to comply with drug testing.

Pursuant to 18 United States Code § 3013, you must pay a special assessment fee in the amount of \$200 which shall be due immediately.

The Court finds that you do not have the ability to pay a fine; therefore, the Court will waive the fine in this case.

It is further ordered that you be remanded to

03:51PM

03:52PM 20

the custody of the Attorney General pending designation by the Bureau of Prisons.

Pursuant to *United States versus Bostic*,

Ms. Kolman, does the government have any objection to
the sentence just pronounced that has not previously
been raised?

MS. KOLMAN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Sharp, does the defendant have any objections to the sentence just pronounced that has not previously been raised?

MR. SHARP: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Watson, you have the right to appeal your appeal your conviction and the right to appeal your sentence. Your plea agreement waives some of those rights, but because you may wish to file an appeal to challenge the waiver of your appeal rights, or to appeal an issue not waived by your plea agreement, I will advise you as to how you would pursue an appeal.

Any Notice of Appeal must be filed within

14 days of the entry of judgment or within 14 days of
the filing of a Notice of Appeal by the United States.

If requested, the Clerk of Court will prepare and file a

Notice of Appeal on your behalf.

If you cannot afford to pay the cost of an

```
appeal or appellate counsel, you have the right to
       2
           appeal -- apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis,
       3
           which means you can apply to have the court waive the
       4
           filing fee in your case. On appeal, you may also apply
       5
           for court-appointed counsel.
       6
                    Mr. Watson, I hope that you will take full
       7
           advantage of the substance abuse and mental health
       8
           services provided by the Bureau of Prisons. These
       9
           services can help speed your recovery and change
      10
           dangerous behaviors.
03:53PM
      11
                    Are there other matters to resolve in this case
      12
           at this time?
      13
                    Ms. Kolman?
      14
                    MS. KOLMAN: No, Your Honor, I don't believe
      15
           so.
      16
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sharp?
      17
                    MR. SHARP: Your Honor, would the Court
      18
           consider a recommendation to the BOP in Butner, North
      19
           Carolina or Lexington, Kentucky?
03:54PM
      20
                    THE COURT: Mr. Sharp, just to make sure I've
          got that right, we're looking at a proposed
      21
      22
           recommendation to Butner, North Carolina or FMC
      23
          Lexington?
      24
                    MR. SHARP: Correct, Your Honor.
      25
                    THE COURT: Ms. Kolman, I will presume the
```

```
United States would have no objection to that
       2
           recommendation.
       3
                    MS. KOLMAN: No objection.
                    THE COURT: Okay. The Court will make that
       4
       5
           recommendation.
       6
                    MR. SHARP: Thank you, Your Honor.
       7
                    Nothing further. Thank you.
       8
                    THE COURT: With that, court is adjourned.
                    THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
       9
                                                        This
           honorable court stands adjourned.
      10
03:54PM
      11
                     (Which were all the proceedings had and
      12
                     herein transcribed.)
      13
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      20
      21
      22
      23
      24
      25
```

1 C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E2 STATE OF TENNESSEE 3 COUNTY OF KNOX I, Teresa S. Grandchamp, RMR, CRR, do hereby 4 5 certify that I reported in machine shorthand the above 6 proceedings; that the foregoing pages were transcribed 7 under my personal supervision and constitute a true and 8 accurate record of the proceedings. 9 I further certify that I am not an attorney or 10 counsel of any of the parties, nor an employee or 11 relative of any attorney or counsel connected with the 12 action, nor financially interested in the action. 13 Transcript completed and signed on Monday, 14 August 9, 2021. 15 16 17 18 20 TERESA S. GRANDCHAMP, RMR, CRR 21 Official Court Reporter 22 2.3 24